



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,866	04/16/2001	Heinz Willebrand	69971	5585
22242	7590 07/08/2003			
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, HANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633 DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		Application No.	
	Office Action Summany	09/835,866	WILLEBRAND, HEINZ
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Hanh Phan	2633
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address
THE - Extending - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statular reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may only within the statutory minimum of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mete, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	April 2001 .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under		
•	tion of Claims	_	
4)凶	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application		
ج\ا <sup>ت</sup> ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awii iroiti consideration.	
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	
•	tion Papers	or election requirement.	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No
*;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bese the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a)	• •	
Attachmei	nt(s)		
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed 04/11/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 15-19, 21-26 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0075542).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 7, 17, 23 and 25, referring to Figures 4 and 8, Kumar teaches a method of managing a free-space optical network, comprising the steps of:

directing network data traffic (430)(Fig. 4) over one or more free-space optical links (410, 420)(i.e., multi-medium interface such as RF wireless unit and free-space optics unit) in the free-space optical network (see pages 3 and 4, paragraph [0041], and page 5, paragraph [0050]);

monitoring one or more environmental conditions in a vicinity of at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (see page 5, paragraph [0053] and page 6, paragraph [0054]); and

Art Unit: 2633

determining whether or not there is more than one alternate communication path available and routing the network data traffic through an alternate communication path in response to data obtained from the step of monitoring one or more environmental conditions in a vicinity of at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (see page 10, paragraph [0088]).

Regarding claims 2, 16 and 24, Kumar further teaches wherein the alternate communication path comprises a communication path that is not adversely affected by the one or more environmental conditions (page 10, paragraph [0088]).

Regarding claims 4, 18 and 21, Kumar further teaches wherein the alternate communication path comprises a radio frequency (RF) communication path (see pages 3 and 4, paragraph [0041], and page 5, paragraph [0050]).

Regarding claims 5 and 19, Kumar further teaches wherein the alternate communication path comprises a fiber optic communication path (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 8, 22 and 26, Kumar further teaches rerouting the network data traffic over the one or more free-space optical links in the free-space optical network in response to additional data obtained from monitoring one or more environmental conditions in a vicinity of at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (page 10, paragraph [0088]).

Regarding claims 9-12, Kumar further teaches collecting data indicative of at least one of the one or more environmental conditions with an instrument located in the vicinity of the at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (see pages 5 and 6, paragraphs [0053] and [0054] and page 10, paragraph [0088]).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 15, Kumar further teaches a method of managing a free-space optical network, comprising the steps of:

directing network data traffic (430)(Fig. 4) over one or more free-space optical links in the free-space optical network;

monitoring one or more environmental conditions in a vicinity of at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (see page 5, paragraph [0053] and page 6, paragraph [0054]);

attempting to adjust one or both of a transmission power and receive sensitivity of one or more of the free-space optical links in response to data obtained from the step of monitoring one or more environmental conditions in a vicinity of at least one of the one or more free-space optical links (see pages 5 and 6, paragraphs [0051] to [0054]); and

routing the network data traffic through an alternate communication path in response to a failure in the step of attempting to adjust (see page 10, paragraph [0088]).

Regarding claims 31-34, Kumar further teaches selecting the alternate communication path (Fig. 8, page 5, paragraphs [0051]-[0053], page 6, paragraph [0054]), page 10, paragraph [0088]);

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2633

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0075542).

Regarding claims 6 and 20, it would have been obvious to obtain alternate communication path comprises a wire communication path in order to allow the data can be freely transmitted through the alternate paths in the optical data transmission.

6. Claims 13, 14 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0075542) in view of Bae (US Patent No. 5,790,286).

Regarding claims 13, 14 and 27-30 Kumar differs from claims 13, 14 and 27-30 in that he fails to teach sending a alarm over the free-space optical network in response to the data indicative of at least one of of the one or more environmental conditions. However, Bae teaches sending a alarm over the optical network in response to the data indicative of at least one of of the one or more environmental conditions. Bae does not teach sending a alarm over the free-space optical network (see Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 12-67 and col. 5, lines 42). However, it would have been obvious to obtain sending a alarm over the free-space optical network in order to eliminate the wire connection, reduce size, weight of device and make device is portable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art the time the invention was made to incorporate the sending a alarm over the optical network as taught of Bae in the system of Kumar. One skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Bae suggests

Art Unit: 2633

in column 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 1-61 that using such sending a alarm over the

optical network would allow the data can be freely transmitted by embodying the

duplication of paths in the optical data transmission (col. 5, lines 44-47).

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (703)306-5840.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-

4700.

Hanh Phan

06/27/2003

Page 6